

GEORGIAN FOUNDATION FOR STRATEGIC AND INTERNATIONAL STUDIES



ENHANCING COMMUNICATION AND KNOWLEDGE SHARING ALONG THE MIDDLE CORRIDOR

TRANSPORT CORRIDORS OF CENTRAL ASIA – FACING THE CHALLENGES OF CONFRONTATIONAL GLOBALIZATION

Vladimer Papava





GEORGIAN FOUNDATION FOR STRATEGIC AND INTERNATIONAL STUDIES



Eesti Diplomaatide Kool Estonian School of Diplomacy

VLADIMER PAPAVA

TRANSPORT CORRIDORS OF CENTRAL ASIA – FACING THE CHALLENGES OF CONFRONTATIONAL GLOBALIZATION

All rights reserved and belong to Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies and Estonian School of Diplomacy. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, without the prior written permission of the publisher. The opinions and conclusions expressed are those of the author/s and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies and Estonian School of Diplomacy.

Copyright © 2025 Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies

TRANSPORT CORRIDORS OF CENTRAL ASIA – FACING THE CHALLENGES OF CONFRONTATIONAL GLOBALIZATION

Vladimer Papava Senior Fellow, GFSIS–Rondeli Foundation

Introduction

Soon after the collapse of the USSR, as Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan gained state independence, the Central Asian region that unites them became the object of geopolitical research (e. g., Banuazizi, Weiner, eds., 1994; Ferdinand, ed., 1994; Fuller, 1990; Mesbahi, ed., 1994).

The special interest in the Central Asian region came primarily due to the fact that Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan have rich oil and gas deposits (e.g., Babak, 2006; Kamenev, 2003; Kenisarin, 2004). At the same time, Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan are rich in hydro resources (e.g., Kurtov, 2004; Niyazi, 2003).

The West's interests in Central Asia were primarily manifested in the realization of opportunities for transporting resources, and above all, oil and gas, from this region to the West. In this context, an approach to the restoration of the historical "Great Silk Road" was formed (e.g., Elisseeff, ed. 2000; Liu, 1998). This approach was not only (and not so much) symbolic in nature, as it created the possibility of transport links between Europe and Asia bypassing the land transport route passing through Russia (e.g., Gegeshidze, 1999; Shevardnadze, 1999). The idea of recreating the "Great Silk Road" was implemented in two projects – TRACECA and INOGATE, initiated in the mid-1990s. As a result, the corresponding transport corridor was put into operation (Asadov, 2000; Rondeli, 2002; Starr, Cornell, eds., 2005; Stauffer, 2000). Here, we note that both projects, TRACECA and INOGATE, implied the creation of transport and energy corridors linking Europe with Central Asia, but these corridors did not reach China (Gogolashvili, 2017).

In 2021, the EU launched the Global Gateway Strategy as "a new European strategy to boost smart, clean and secure connections in the digital, energy and transport sectors, and to strengthen health, education and research systems around the world" (EU, n.d.).

The issue of transport corridors has become particularly relevant since the COVID-19 outbreak and since the start of Russia's war in Ukraine on February 24, 2022. The economic sanctions imposed by the West on Russia have affected both the economy as a whole, and the oil and gas sector of Central Asian countries in particular (Hess, 2024). Changing geopolitics directly impact Central Asia (Cornell, eds., 2023).

The essence of the problem is that the pandemic, the aforementioned war, and the economic sanctions fundamentally influenced the nature of globalization that had been established for decades, and led to a change in the world order (e.g., Brandon, 2024; Dynkin, Telegin, 2021; Flockhart, 2023; Huang, 2021; Lehne, 202).

The purpose of this study is to assess the role of transport corridors in Central Asia in the context of the transformation of the world order, which is mainly determined by the nature of globalization processes (e.g., Amadi, 2020).

At the outset, at least briefly, it is necessary to characterize the main changes occurring in globalization processes.

On Confrontational Globalization and Economic Security

The rapid spread of the coronavirus worldwide meant that the only way to combat it was to shut down the functioning of many sectors of the economy, which caused a rupture in global supply chains (Rickards, 2022). This, in turn, contributed to the perception that the COVID-19 pandemic had triggered a process of deglobalization that could ultimately lead to isolationism (Derviş, Strauss, 2020). At the same time, it was obvious that post-pandemic economic development in the context of deglobalization, not to mention isolationism, was fundamentally impossible (Fung, 2020).

When discussing the possibility of deglobalization, it is necessary to note that with the modern development of transport and communication systems, the completion of globalization as such is fundamentally impossible, as evidenced by the stability (even in the conditions of the COVID-19 pandemic) of the illegal global economy (e.g., drug trafficking, arms trafficking, etc.) (Papava, 2022b). It is the illegal global economy that is Russia's main "ally" (Papava, 2023) in circumventing the economic sanctions (e.g., Atasuntsev, 2023; Berman, 2023; Deen, 2023; Euronews, 2023; Feldstein, 2024; Race, 2024; Rajoli, 2024), that were imposed by the West on it due to the outbreak of war in Ukraine (BBC, 2024).

With the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, it was not the globalization process itself that ended, but the type of globalization to which everyone had become accustomed and adapted to in one way or another (Pisani-Ferry, 2021). In particular, it was the process of hyper-globalization (Rodrik, 2011, 2021, 2022), which was most consistent with the unipolar world order that emerged after the collapse of the USSR, that ended.

Due to disruptions in global supply chains in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic, hyperglobalization has given way to turbulent globalization (Papava, 2022d). Thus, the COVID-19 pandemic created the impression of the beginning of a process of deglobalization, although, in reality, this process is more correctly described as pseudo-deglobalization (Papava, 2021).

With the start of Russia's war in Ukraine and the introduction of economic sanctions against Russia by the West, turbulent globalization has given way to confrontational globalization (Papava, 2022a), which reflects the division of countries into three groups – countries that have imposed sanctions against Russia, countries that support Russia, the so-called neutral countries, and non-allied countries.

When talking about the future of globalization, the idea that the future will bring a so-called "better globalization" (Rodrik, 2022), or a new and improved "wave" of globalization (El-Erian, 2020) is usually discussed. However, no clarification is made about what is meant by such assessments of the future of globalization.

In our opinion, confrontational globalization will most likely be replaced by "globalization with economic security," since, against the backdrop of the pandemic and Russia's war in Ukraine, the need to overcome issues related to economic security is becoming increasingly urgent (e.g., Hillman, 2025). To achieve this, special attention should be paid to the creation of more resilient global supply chains (Marin, 2021; Zeihan, 2022), which ultimately involves the creation of a network of sustainable economic corridors (Aydinly, 2025; Azhgaliyeva, et al., eds., 2024; Papava, 2021; WB, 2023a).

About the Transport Corridors of Central Asia

In the context of confrontational globalization, there is also the so-called war of transport and trade corridors (Colibasanu, 2024), which is a natural state of affairs precisely due to the confrontational nature of the modern world. In particular, there is an intersection of interests of the West, China, Russia and Iran. Of these interests, first of all, it is necessary to consider the role of China in the formation of global transport corridors, based on the "Belt and Road Initiative" (BRI), formed back in 2013, which covers almost the entire globe (e.g., Ge, 2016).

In the context of Central Asia, the BRI includes the following corridors:

- The Northern Corridor, or New Eurasian Land Bridge, linking China by transcontinental railway with Europe via Kazakhstan, Russia and Belarus;
- The Southern Corridor, linking China with Europe and the Mediterranean via Central Asia, Iran and Turkey;
- The Middle Corridor (also known as the Trans-Caspian International Transport Route), starting from China and crossing Kazakhstan, the Caspian Sea, Azerbaijan and Georgia, which reaches Turkey or the Black Sea.

Since the Middle Corridor has two destinations – Turkey and the Black Sea, then, accordingly, the first option can be conditionally called Middle Corridor A, and the second – Middle Corridor B (Colibasanu, 2024).

After the start of Russia's war in Ukraine and the introduction of economic sanctions against Russia by the West, the Northern Corridor has effectively been blocked.

As for the Southern Corridor, there are some security issues. In particular, in 2022, Russia began actively investing in the International North-South Transport Corridor (INSTC), which will connect Russia's northern ports, through the Caucasus and the Caspian Sea, with Iran's southern ports and further to India. Consequently, Iran is given a key position, since it is in this country that the Southern Corridor will intersect with INSTC. It is rightly believed that in this case, the so-called informal coalition of China, Iran, and Russia (CIRN) may be strengthened, which is not in the interests of the West (Colibasanu, 2024).

Based on the above, the Middle Corridor, whose workload relative to the Northern Corridor was insignificant, has a certain advantage in establishing transport links between Europe and Asia (Aguiar, 2025). In particular, in 2021, only 8 percent of the volume of cargo that was transported through the Northern Corridor was sent through the Middle Corridor (Pavliashvili, et al., 2024, p. 82).

The growing interest in increasing the Middle Corridor's workload is directly related to the start of Russia's war in Ukraine and the introduction of economic sanctions against Russia by the West (Papava, 2021). In economic terms, this is a manifestation of a positive externality for the realization of the transport potential of Azerbaijan (e.g., WB, 2020) and Georgia (e.g., Charaia, Papava, 2017; Kipiani, 2023; Papava, 2017), due to the introduction of the above-mentioned Western economic sanctions against Russia (Papava, 2022c).

The proper use of Middle Corridor B depends in large part on when and how Russia's war in Ukraine ends, and provided that an open and free Black Sea remains.

Since the real competitor of the Northern and Southern Corridors is the Middle Corridor, naturally, Russia and Iran are most interested in the latter not working at full capacity. At the same time, China's involvement in realizing the Middle Corridor's potential could act as a deterrent to Russia and Iran's attempts to hinder the functioning and development of said Corridor.

It is also necessary to take into account the fact that if, in the more or less foreseeable future, fundamental political changes occur in Russia, and/or if the West also fundamentally changes its attitude towards Russia, then practically nothing will prevent the relatively rapid restoration of the significance of the Northern Corridor.

It should be noted that for India, INSTC is seen as a potential opportunity for its connection not so much with the Southern, but with the Middle Corridor, with Central Asia, the Caspian region and Europe (Wani, 2024a, 2024b).

Among the Central Asian countries, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Tajikistan are showing particular interest in INSTC (Das Kundu, 2024). Here, we note that the countries of Central Asia have a significant interest in developing transport corridors in the direction of South Asia (WB, 2011). The construction of the first phase of the Turkmenistan–Afghanistan–Pakistan–India gas pipeline should also be considered in this context (TAPI) (Kaleji, 2025).

The West's interests in Central Asia's resources, as noted above, were embodied in the abovementioned TRACECA and INOGATE projects. But when speaking about the West in relation to Central Asia, it seems that the interests of the EU and the US should be considered separately.

For the EU, and for Europe as a whole, there is no doubt about the interest in Central Asian resources (e.g., EBRD, 2023; Engvall, 2025; Mami, 2024; Rizzi, 2024; Urciuolo, 2024; Wani, 2024a, 2024b). Moreover, according to the World Bank's calculations, by 2030, the volume of freight traffic along the Middle Corridor will have increased threefold, and travel time will have been halved (WB, 2023b).

Unlike European countries, the US' position towards Central Asia is currently not as obvious as it seemed in the 1990s.

As is known, the US is particularly interested in the India-Middle East-Europe Economic Corridor (IMEC), which should include an eastern corridor connecting India with the Persian Gulf, and a northern corridor connecting the Persian Gulf with Europe. IMEC has deep historical roots (e.g., Lentin, 2025) and will link India, UAE, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Israel and Europe (WH, 2023). For the US, IMEC is seen as a counterweight to China's BRI (Chambers, 2023). At the same time, as noted above, for India, the opportunity to connect with Central Asia and the Middle Corridor is becoming increasingly attractive (Wani, 2024a, 2024b).

Despite the fact that Central Asia is rich not only in energy resources, but also in critical minerals (such as manganese, chromium, lead, zinc, and titanium), which are of the greatest importance for the development of green technologies (Tussupov, 2024), the level of US interest in this region is clearly insufficient (Durso, 2024; Starr, 2024).

Formally, the official document titled 'United States Strategy for Central Asia 2019-2025: Advancing Sovereignty and Economic Prosperity' emphasized support for the regional sovereignty, independence, and territorial integrity of the countries in the region, as well as for promoting the rule of law and encouraging US investment (Bureau of South and Central Asian Affairs, 2020).

In fairness, it should be recognized that this document was adopted at a time when US troops were still in Afghanistan. The rapid withdrawal of American troops from Afghanistan, and their replacement by forces from China, Russia and the Persian Gulf countries, was seen as a decline in US interest in Central Asian countries (Starr, 2024). Despite the repeated visits of the presidents of Russia and China to Central Asian countries, unfortunately, no US President has ever visited this region (Durso, 2024; Starr, 2024).

At the initiative of Kazakhstan, the C5+1 format was created, within the framework of which the presidents of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan could meet together with the President of the United States to discuss pressing problems in Central Asia. It is a sad fact that the only meeting between the President of the United States and the presidents of the five Central Asian countries took place in the C5+1 format only in 2023, during the UN General Assembly (Durso, 2024; Starr, 2024).

The increased role of the US in Central Asia is a real challenge for the US Administration, which, in addition to many areas, will directly affect the role of transport and trade corridors in this region (Durso, 2024; Starr, 2024). Any US efforts in this direction (e.g., Daryo, 2024) should be welcomed.

When discussing the transport corridors of Central Asia, it is vital to emphasize the importance of developing these corridors within the region itself. In particular, the Central Asian countries are actively developing intra-regional transport networks. The most significant of these is the China–Kyrgyzstan–Uzbekistan railway for multimodal freight transportation (Fazl-e-Haider, 2025). In this context, two additional routes are being developed: in the direction of Europe, and to the South. This will make it possible to connect Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan with Iran. For its part, Kazakhstan, as a country with the largest economy in the region and located in the Middle Corridor, is of considerable interest in the development of transport corridors in the southern direction. The development of a network of transport corridors within Central Asia is intended to strengthen regional trade and economic integration (Omirgazy, 2024).

Economic Security and Transport Corridors

Above, when considering the issue of confrontational globalization, it was emphasized that the need to ensure economic security is becoming increasingly urgent worldwide. In this direction, transport corridors can play a special role, which should ensure the resilience of global supply chains. From this point of view, rethinking the approach to the formation and development prospects of transport corridors is of great importance.

It should be acknowledged that the key characteristic of the approach to transport corridors is their alternative nature, designed to prevent any country or group of countries from establishing a monopoly in providing transport services. This issue becomes particularly critical as the confrontational nature of relations between countries intensifies. The most obvious examples of this are Russia's war in Ukraine, as well as in the Middle East (for example, Rey-Bellet, 2024; International Transport Forum, 2022), and their impact on the development of transport corridors (Colibasanu, 2024).

In other words, the language of "alternativeness" is most suitable for the paradigm of "confrontation." It was noted above that, in our deep conviction, confrontational globalization will be replaced by globalization with economic security. Consequently, the problem of economic security, the importance of which increased during confrontational globalization, will not lose its relevance at the next stage of globalization.

Thus, with the end of confrontational globalization, the language of "alternativeness" should also change. In particular, in our opinion, the language of "harmonizing" transport corridors should be used instead, which will be based on the "complementarity" of these corridors (Papava, Tokmazishvili, 2008). It is precisely complementarity that should become the basis that will ensure the resilience of global supply chains.

Clearly, at this stage, with Russia's war in Ukraine still ongoing, it is still too early to talk about the "harmonization" of transport corridors, but it is necessary to come to the conclusion today that for the future world economy, a language that operates on "complementarity" will be much more acceptable than the language of "alternativeness" of transport corridors.

Naturally, this approach will fully affect the transport corridors of Central Asia.

Conclusion

When addressing the issues surrounding transport corridors, it is essential to consider the nature of the globalization process. With the beginning of Russia's war in Ukraine and the introduction of economic sanctions against Russia by the West, so-called confrontational globalization formed. In such conditions, the resilience of global supply chains is of particular importance, which will largely be determined by a developed network of transport corridors.

The Central Asian countries are interested in developing transport corridors. This primarily concerns the Chinese BRI, as well as INSTC. The BRI's Northern Corridor is blocked due to Russia's war in Ukraine and the West's economic sanctions against Russia. As for the Southern Corridor, Iran's role becomes more significant, as this corridor intersects with the INSTC in Iran itself, conflicting with the interests of the West in the region. As a result, the role of the Middle Corridor grows, which goes against the interests of Russia and Iran. However, they are unlikely to openly oppose China's interests in this matter.

Europe's interest in the Central Corridor is undeniable. However, the United States seems more focused on developing the IMEC transport corridor, and has shown limited interest in Central Asia and its transport routes, a situation that calls for a significant shift in approach.

The Central Asian countries are also interested in developing a transport corridor linking them to South Asia. At the same time, they are intensively developing intraregional transport routes.

Based on the need to ensure the resilience of global supply chains, the transition from an approach of "alternative" transport corridors to an approach of "complementarity" is of great importance.

References

Aguiar, P., 2025. "The Middle Corridor: A Route Born of the New Eurasian Geopolitics." *Geopolitical Monitor*, January 23, https://www.geopoliticalmonitor.com/the-middle-corridor-a-route-born-of-the-new-eurasian-geopolitics/>.

Amadi, L., 2020. "Globalization and the Changing Liberal International Order: A Review of the Literature." *Research in Globalization*, Vol. 2, December, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/s2590051X20300046>.

Asadov, F., 2000. "Oil Caravans of the 21st Century on the Great Silk Road: What the Future has in Store for Azerbaijan and Kazakhstan." *Central Asia and The Caucasus*, No. 6, pp. 179–185.

Atasuntsev, A., 2023. "A Loophole in the Sanctions." *Verstka*, August 9, <https://verstka.media/a-loophole-in-the-sanctions-sanctioned-goods-of-almost-all-types-worth-billions-of-dollars-are-imported-into-russia>.

Aydinly, A., 2025. "World Oil Exports Fall: How New Routes Are Changing Global Trade." *News.Az*, January 15, <https://news.az/news/-world-oil-exports-fall-how-new-routes-are-changing-global-trade?fbclid=iwy2xja wh0ruflehrua2flbqixmaabhq5kz_1bmfni1hqjhr8k8x55hhqmhm0bm8k0yeu1n73cyotvngf3csmbow_aem_lbouqigkqzekhaxociwzbq>.

Azhgaliyeva, D., Mogilevskii, R., Akbar, R., eds., 2024. *Unlocking Transport Connectivity in the Caucasus and Central Asia*. Tokyo: Asian Development Bank Institute, https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/1009086/unlocking-transport-connectivity-caucasus-and-central-asia.pdf>.

Babak, V., 2006. "The Oil and Gas Sector in Kazakhstan." *Central Asia and the Caucasus*, No. 4 (40), pp. 41–54.

Banuazizi, A., Weiner, M., eds., 1994. *The New Geopolitics of Central Asia and Its Borderlands*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

BBC, 2024. "What Are the Sanctions on Russia and Have They Affected Its Economy?" *BBC*, February 23, <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-60125659>.

Berman, I., 2023. "Western Business Is Still Sustaining Russia's War." *The Hill*, January 30, .

Brandon, K., 2024. "Transitioning to a New Global Order. At a Time of Growing Geopolitical Fragmentation and Conflict, How Can the World Foster the Kind of International Cooperation Needed to Find the Answers?" *SEI Perspectives*, January 17, https://www.sei.org/perspectives/transitioning-to-a-new-global-order/.

Bureau of South and Central Asian Affairs, 2020. "United States Strategy for Central Asia 2019-2025: Advancing Sovereignty and Economic Prosperity." U.S. Embassy in Uzbekistan, February 5,

https://uz.usembassy.gov/united-states-strategy-for-central-asia-2019-2025-advancing-sovereignty-and-economic-prosperity/.

Chambers, S., 2023. "US and EU Back New Transport Corridor Linking India with Europe." *Splash247*, September 11, <https://splash247.com/us-and-eu-back-new-transport-corridor-linking-india-with-europe/>.

Charaia, V., Papava, V., 2017. "Belt and Road Initiative: Implications for Georgia and China–Georgia Economic Relations." *China International Studies*, November/December, pp. 122–139.

Colibasanu, A., 2024. "Trade Corridor Wars: Escalating Competition Between China, Russia, Iran and the West." *Foreign Policy Research Institute*, December 6, <https://www.fpri.org/article/2024/12/trade-corridor-wars-china-russia-iran-west/>.

Cornell, S. E., eds., 2023. *The Changing Geopolitics of Central Asia and the Caucasus*. Washington, DC: AFPC Press.

Daryo, 2024. "U.S. Backs Tajikistan's Participation in Trans-Caspian Trade Route, Bypassing Russia." *Daryo*, September 7, https://daryo.uz/en/2024/09/07/us-backs-tajikistans-participation-in-trans-caspian-trade-route-bypassing-russia?utm_source=chatgpt.com.

Das Kundu, N., 2024. "International North-South Transport Corridor: Enhancing India's Regional Connectivity." *Valdai Club*, January 24, https://valdaiclub.com/a/highlights/international-north-south-transport-corridor/.

Deen, T., 2023. "Iranian Drones Include US and Western Components." *Eurasia Review*, January 11, <https://www.eurasiareview.com/11012023-iranian-drones-include-us-and-western-components-oped/?fb clid=IwAR3cvzUIiJRQdnIjaN-2J5s7KXDRZpz_7lTkmPrSr7wEzjNed_V_03gRVc>.

Derviş, K., Strauss S., 2020. "What COVID-19 Means for International Cooperation." *Project Syndicate*, March 6, https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/global-cooperation-can-prevent-next-pandemic-by-kemal-dervis-and-sebasti-n-strauss-2020-03>.

Durso, J., 2024. "Central Asia: The Blind Spot Trump Can't Afford to Ignore." *Responsible Statecraft*, December 11, https://responsiblestatecraft.org/trump-central-asia/.

Dynkin, A. A., Telegin E. A., 2021. "Globalization and the World Order in the New Realities of the Post-COVID World." *Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 91, No. 4, pp. 414–418, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134/S101933162104002X>.

EBRD, 2023. Sustainable Transport Connections Between Europe and Central Asia. The European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) Paper, June 30. Brussels: The European Commission, ">https://transport.ec.europa.eu/transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport.ec.europa.eu/transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport.ec.europa.eu/transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport.ec.europa.eu/transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport.ec.europa.eu/transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport.ec.europa.eu/transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-connections-central-asia_en>">https://transport-themes/international-relations/study-sustainable-transport-central-asia_en>">https://transport-central-asia_en>">https://transport-central-asia_en>">https://transport-central-asia_en>">https://transport-central-asia_en>">https://transport-central-asia_en>">https://transport-ce

EC, n.d. "Global Gateway Overview." *European Commission*, https://international-partnerships.ec.europa.eu/policies/global-gateway/global-gateway-overview_en.

El-Erian, M. A., (2020). "Navigating Deglobalization." *Project Syndicate*, May 11, <https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/covid19-deglobalization-two-priorities-by-mohamed-ael-erian-2020-05?utm_source=Project%20Syndicate%20Newsletter&utm_campaign=3ce69c95d3-sunday_ newsletter_17_05_2020&utm_medium=email&utm_term=0_73bad5b7d8-3ce69c95d3-93567601&mc_ cid=3ce69c95d3&mc_eid=e9fb6cbcc0&barrier=accesspaylog>.

Elisseeff, V., ed. 2000. The Silk Roads: Highways of Culture and Commerce. New York: Berghahn Books.

Engvall, J., 2025. "The Convergence of the South Caucasus and Central Asia: An Opportunity for a New EU Partnership." *The Central Asia-Caucasus Analyst*, January 22, https://cacianalyst.org/resources/240122_FT_Engvall.pdf?utm_source=substack&utm_medium=email.

Euronews, 2023. "More than 550 Global Companies are Still Doing Business in Russia. Many are European." *Euronews*, January 20, .

Fazl-e-Haider, S., 2025. "The China–Kyrgyzstan–Uzbekistan Railway: China's Strategic Expansion in Russia's Backyard." *The Central Asia-Caucasus Analyst*, January 27, .

Feldstein, S., 2024. "Why Russia Has Been So Resilient to Western Export Controls." *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace*, March 11, https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2024/03/why-russia-has-been-so-resilient-to-western-export-controls?lang=en.

Ferdinand, P., ed., 1994. *The New States of Central Asia and Their Neighbours*. New York: Council of Foreign Relations Press.

Flockhart, T., 2023. "Russia's War in Ukraine: A World Order under Transformation?" *Politica*, Vol. 55, No. 1, https://tidsskrift.dk/politica/article/view/135830.

Fuller, G. E., 1990. "The Emergence of Central Asia." Foreign Policy, No. 78, Spring, pp. 49-67.

Fung, V. K., 2020. "The Trade Cure for the Global Economy." *Project Syndicate*, April 22, https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/covid19-crisis-revive-multilateralism-open-trade-by-victor-k-fung-2020-04.

Ge, S., 2016. "The Belt and Road Initiative in Global Perspectives." *China International Studies*, No. 57, March/ April, pp. 5–27.

Gegeshidze, A., 1999. "The South Caucasus: Getting Close to Europe?" *Marco Polo Magazine*, No. 1, 45–47.

Gogolashvili, K., 2017. *New Silk Road: A Stage for EU and China to Cooperate*. Expert Opinion 86. Tbilisi: Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies, https://gfsis.org.ge/files/library/opinion-papers/86-expert-opinion-eng.pdf>.

Hess, M., 2024. "The Impact of Russia Sanctions on Central Asia." *Foreign Policy Research Institute*, December 16, <https://www.fpri.org/article/2024/12/the-impact-of-russia-sanctions-on-central-asia/>.

Hillman, J. E., 2025. "The Rise of Economic Security." *Council on Foreign Relations*, January 21, https://www.cfr.org/article/rise-economic-security.

Huang, Q., 2021. "The Pandemic and the Transformation of Liberal International Order." *Journal of Chinese Political Science*, Vol, 26, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11366-020-09698-0.

International Transport Forum, 2022. "Transport Connectivity in Central Asia: Strengthening Alternative Trade Corridors between Europe and Asia." *Transport Policy Responses to the War in Ukraine*, No. 2, October 24, https://www.itf-oecd.org/sites/default/files/ukraine-trade-corridors.pdf>.

Kaleji, V., 2025. "The First Phase of the TAPI Gas Pipeline: From Serhetabat, Turkmenistan to Herat, Afghanistan." *The Central Asia-Caucasus Analyst*, January 2, .

Kamenev, S., 2003. "Turkmenistan: Energy Policy and Energy Projects." *Central Asia and the Caucasus*, No. 4 (22), pp. 117–125.

Kenisarin, M., 2004. "The Energy Sector of Uzbekistan: Present State and Problems." *Central Asia and the Caucasus*, No. 2 (26), pp. 124–137.

Kipiani, V., 2023. "Significance of the Middle Corridor: Underestimation Is Unacceptable and Overestimation Is Impossible." *European Journal of Economics and Management*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 5–11, https://eujem.cz/wp-content/uploads/2023/eujem_2023_9_1/03.pdf>.

Kurtov, A., 2004. "Central Asia Deals with its Water Problems: A View from Kyrgyzstan." *Central Asia and the Caucasus*, No. 6 (30), pp. 111–119.

Lehne, S., 2023. "After Russia's War Against Ukraine: What Kind of World Order?" *Carnegie Europe*, February 28, https://carnegieeurope.eu/2023/02/28/after-russia-s-war-against-ukraine-what-kind-of-world-order-pub-89130>.

Lentin, S., 2025. "The Ancient Precursor to IMEC." *Gateway House*, January 23, <https://www.gatewayhouse.in/the-ancient-precursor-to-imec/>.

Liu, H.-J., 1998. *The Silk Road: Overland Trade and Cultural Interactions in Eurasia (Essays on Global and Comparative History)*. Washington, DC: American Historical Association.

Mami, E., 2024. "The Middle Corridor: Trends and Opportunities." *ODI Global*, January 22, https://odi.org/en/insights/the-middle-corridor-trends-and-opportunities/.

Marin, D., 2021. "Making Supply Chains More Resilient." *Project Syndicate*, July 27, https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/should-governments-help-to-boost-supply-chain-resilience-by-dalia-marin-2021-07.

Mesbahi, M., ed., 1994. *Central Asia and The Caucasus after the Soviet Union*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida.

Niyazi, A., 2003. "Tajikistan: Its Hydropower Resources and the Problems of their Use." *Central Asia and the Caucasus*, No. 4 (22), pp. 109–117.

Omirgazy, D., 2024. "Central Asian Countries Expand Trade with New Transport Corridors." *The Astana Times*, March 21, https://astanatimes.com/2024/03/central-asian-countries-expand-trade-with-new-transport-corridors/.

Papava, V., 2017. One Belt One Road Initiative and Georgia. Expert Opinion 93. Tbilisi: Georgian Foundation for Strategic and International Studies, https://gfsis.org.ge/files/library/opinion-papers/93-expert-opinion-papers/93-expert-opinion-eng.pdf>.

Papava, V., 2021. "On Pseudo De-Globalization, Silk Road of Global Value Chains and Role of Georgia." *Eurasia Review*, October 18, https://www.eurasiareview.com/18102021-on-pseudo-de-globalization-silk-road-of-global-value-chains-and-role-of-georgia-oped/.

Papava, V., 2022a. "Moving from Hyper-Globalization." *Eurasia Review*, October 28, https://www.eurasiareview.com/28102022-moving-from-hyper-globalization-oped/?fbclid=IwAR2pYf4-drq_z0j5oF9mad6B08SrjxvsRUbd7ebBjdtt6Fp14jYVZiVITq0.

Papava, V., 2022b. "On Illegal Globalization, or Can Globalization Ever End?" *Eurasia Review*, December 19, https://www.eurasiareview.com/19122022-on-illegal-globalization-or-can-globalization-ever-end-oped/.

Papava, V., 2022c. "On Sanctionomics and the Externalities of Economic Sanctions." *Bulletin of the Georgian National Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 142–149, http://science.org.ge/bnas/vol-16-2.html.

Papava, V., 2022d. "Pandemic, War and Economic Sanctions: From Turbulent to Confrontational Globalization." *Eurasia Review*, May 23, https://www.eurasiareview.com/23052022-pandemic-war-and-economic-sanctions-from-turbulent-to-confrontational-globalization-oped/.

Papava, V., 2023. "Illegal Globalization Is Russia's Main 'Ally' Against the West." *Eurasia Review*, February 9, https://www.eurasiareview.com/09022023-illegal-globalization-is-russias-main-ally-against-the-west-oped/.

Papava, V., Tokmazishvili, M., 2008. "Pipeline Harmonization Instead of Alternative Pipelines: Why the Pipeline 'Cold War' Needs to End." *Azerbaijan in the World*, Vol. I, No. 10, June 15, <http://biweekly.ada.edu.az/vol_1_ no_10/Pipeline_harmonization_instead_of_alternative_pipelines.htm>.

Pavliashvili, S., Garakanidze, Z., Mirzayev, B., 2024. *Geoekonomika srednego koridora [Geoeconomics of the Middle Corridor]*. Tashkent: NIU "TIIIMSKh." [In Russian].

Pisani-Ferry, J., 2021. *"The End of Globalization as We Know It."* Project Syndicate, June 28, https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/future-of-globalization-national-priorities-international-threats-by-jean-pisani-ferry-2021-06>.

Race, M., 2024. "Russian Oil Getting into UK via Refinery Loophole, Reports Claim." *BBC*, February 5, https://www.bbc.com/news/business-68018660>.

Rajoli, S. J., 2024. "Are Western Sanctions Against Russia Working?" *ORF*, June 26, https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/are-western-sanctions-against-russia-working>.

Rey-Bellet, T., 2024. "The New Corridors of Global Trade." *IRU*, April 4, <https://www.iru.org/news-resources/ newsroom/new-corridors-global-trade>.

Rickards, J., 2022. Sold Out: How Broken Supply Chains, Surging Inflation, and Political Instability Will Sink the Global Economy. New York: Portfolio.

Rizzi, A., 2024. "Risk and Reward: Why the EU should Develop the Middle Corridor Trade Route." *European Council on Foreign Relations*, April 11, https://ecfr.eu/article/risk-and-reward-why-the-eu-should-develop-the-middle-corridor-trade-route/.

Rodrik, D., 2011. *The Globalization Paradox: Democracy and the Future of the World Economy.* New York: W. W. Norton & Co.

Rodrik, D., 2021. "The G7 Tax Clampdown and the End of Hyper-Globalization." *Project Syndicate*, June 7, https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/g7-corporate-tax-agreement-end-of-hyper-globalization-by-dani-rodrik-2021-06>.

Rodrik, D., 2022. "A Better Globalization Might Rise from Hyper-Globalization's Ashes." *Project Syndicate*, May 9, https://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/after-hyperglobalization-national-interests-open-economy-by-dani-rodrik-2022-05?barrier=accesspaylog>.

Rondeli, A., 2002. "Pipelines and Security Dynamics in the Caucasus." *Insight Turkey*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 13–17.

Shevardnadze, E., 1999. *Great Silk Route. TRACECAPETrA. Transport Corridor Europe-Caucasus-Asia. The Eurasian Common Market. Political and Economic Aspects.* Tbilisi: Georgian Transport System.

Starr, S. F., 2024. *Greater Central Asia as a Component of U.S. Global Strategy*. Silk Road Paper, October. Washington, DC: Central Asia-Caucasus Institute & Silk Road Studies Program, https://www.silkroadstudies.org/publications/silkroad-papers-and-monographs/item/13538-greater-central-asia-as-a-component-of-us-global-strategy. html?utm_source=substack&utm_medium=email>.

Starr, S. F., Cornell, S. E., eds., 2005. *The Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan Pipeline: Oil Window to the West*. Uppsala: Uppsala University, https://www.silkroadstudies.org/resources/pdf/Monographs/2005_01_MONO_Starr-Cornell_BTC-Pipeline.pdf>.

Stauffer, T. R., 2000. "Caspian Fantasy: The Economics of Political Pipelines." The Brown Journal of World Affairs, Vol. VII, No. 2, pp. 63–78.

Tussupov, A., 2024. "Unearthing Potential on the Silk Road – What Central Asia's Critical Minerals Advantage Means for Investors." *Herbert Smith Freehills*, September 18, <https://www.herbertsmithfreehills.com/insights/reports/inside-arbitration-issue-18/unearthing-potential-on-the-silk-road-what-central-asias-critical-minerals-advantage-means-for-investors>.

Urciuolo, L., 2024. *The Middle Corridor Initiative. Where Europe and Asia Meet*. Briefing Paper No. 01. Brussels: European Institute for Asian Studies, https://eias.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/Briefing-Paper-The-Middle-Corridor-Initiative-Where-Europe-and-Asia-Meet.docx.pdf.

Wani, A., 2024a. "The Middle Corridor and Opportunities for India." *Observer Research Foundation*, January 15, <https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/the-middle-corridor-and-opportunities-for-india>.

Wani, A., 2024b. "The Middle Corridor: Reviving Connectivity for EU-Central Asia Trade and India's Strategic Imperative." *Observer Research Foundation*, September 13, https://www.orfonline.org/research/the-middle-corridor-reviving-connectivity-for-eu-central-asia-trade-and-india-s-strategic-imperative.

WB, 2011. *Performance of Transport Corridors in Central and South Asia*. Washington, DC: The World Bank, https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/122131468155109374/pdf/669430BR0Draft0official0use0 only090.pdf>.

WB, 2020. South Caucasus and Central Asia: Belt and Road Initiative, Azerbaijan Country Case Study. Washington, DC: The World Bank, https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/b0c69259-3fe8-56eb-bbd5-162cc7950b94/content.

WB, 2023a. "Middle Corridor through Central Asia, Caucasus Can Boost Trade, Connectivity and Supply Chain Resilience, Says New Report." *The World Bank*, November 27, https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/press-release/2023/11/27/middle-corridor-through-central-asia-caucasus-can-boost-trade-connectivity-and-supply-chain-resilience.

WB, 2023b. *Middle Trade and Transport Corridor: Policies and Investments to Triple Freight Volumes and Halve Travel Time by 2030*. Washington, DC: The World Bank, https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/4d781a1f-ace4-420d-9192-964bbc98b0d1>.

WH, 2023. "Memorandum of Understanding on the Principles of an India – Middle East – Europe Economic Corridor." *The White House*, September 9, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2023/09/09/memorandum-of-understanding-on-the-principles-of-an-india-middle-east-europe-economic-corridor/>.

Zeihan, P., 2022. *The End of the World Is Just the Beginning: Mapping the Collapse of Globalization*. New York: Harper Business.